

'All peoples have the right of self-determination...to freely determine their political, social and cultural development.' Article 1, Point 1 of the United Nations Covenant on Civil and Political Rights :

BNP Info Line

0906 5532245

CALLS COST £1 PER MIN



- Chairman's Article P4

- Tipton Boilers P10

- African Nightmare P12

- Health Matters P20



● **90% of asylum rejects remain illegally in Britain**

NINE out of ten refugees whose asylum applications were refused last year remain illegally in Britain, according to a new study by the independent think tank Migrationwatch UK. The group's chairman Sir Andrew Green, former British ambassador to Saudi Arabia, told the select committee on home affairs last month that 97,500 immigrants who should have been deported in 2001 as a result of Home Office decisions are still here illegally. "That's almost the size of the entire British Army staying here illegally as a result of decisions last year alone," said Sir Andrew.

Migrationwatch UK's figures, moreover, do not include immigrants who smuggled themselves into the country, or visitors and students who have overstayed their visas. The main immigration officers' union also estimates there could now be as many as 1 million illegal immigrants in Britain. "The sheer scale of these numbers demonstrates that without an effective removal system there can be no effective border control. Yet the government appears to have responded by abandoning its removals target" concluded Sir Andrew.

● **Blunkett comes out in support of mass migration**

HOME SECRETARY David Blunkett has spoken out in support of mass migration of

immigrants into Britain and the Western World as something which 'brings significant cultural as well as economic benefits'. Writing in a new book entitled *Reclaiming Britishness* published by the left-wing think-tank, Foreign Policy Centre, Blunkett praises large-scale migration as something which 'increases the diversity of our societies and builds up our cultural capital.'

The current Home Secretary also argues that mass immigration is crucial to the economic wealth of developing countries because of the money that is sent back home by migrant workers, pointing to the fact that 'Migradollars' earned in the USA are now the most significant source of foreign exchange for many Central American countries. He also insists that mass migration benefits advanced economies by supplying the workers they need at different levels and cementing trade links. On the cultural repercussions within Britain of such migration, Blunkett writes "such diversity is not only right; it is desirable. It brings immense social, economic and cultural benefits to our society."

● **New Labour gurus advise Blair to copy the BNP**

PETER MANDELSON and Philip Gould,



New Labour's strategic gurus, have joined forces to warn that the party must rethink its immigration policies to reflect the fears and insecurities of Labour's traditional core vote if it is to avoid losing more political ground to the British National Party. The analysis appears in a new magazine, 'Progressive Politics,' which Mandelson edits and which also includes a contribution from Bill Clinton.

Gould, Tony Blair's leading political strate-

gist, warns that the immigration issue has to be addressed because, like crime, it is feared most by the left's old constituency among the unemployed, the marginalized and the traditional working class, and they must be made to feel that their fears are being addressed. "It is not the comfortable middle classes who have most to fear from migration, but those at the cutting edge of vulnerability", he points out.

Mandelson also warns New Labour not to sideline crime, lawlessness and anti-social behaviour as political issues that have been manufactured by the right. "The last two years should bring home to all of us the gravity of this mistake," he says. "Progressives have to come to terms with rightwing populism."

● **Plan for American global domination revealed**

THE SUNDAY HERALD has published details of a secret blueprint for US global domination which reveals that President Bush and his cabinet were planning a premeditated attack on Iraq to secure 'regime change' even before the Republicans took power in January 2001. The document, entitled 'Rebuilding America's Defences: Strategies, Forces And Resources For A New Century', was written in September 2000 by the neo-conservative think-tank Project for the New American Century (PNAC) and drawn up by Dick Cheney (now vice-president), Donald Rumsfeld (defence secretary), Paul Wolfowitz (Rumsfeld's deputy), George W Bush's younger brother Jeb and Lewis Libby (Cheney's chief of staff)

The document advocates an attack on Iraq as an essential part of a 'blueprint for maintaining global US pre-eminence, precluding the rise of a great power rival, and shaping the international security order in line with American principles and interests'. It also maintains that the US must 'discourage advanced industrial nations from challenging our leadership or even aspiring to a larger regional or global role' and refers to the subservience of key allies such as the UK as 'the most effective and efficient means of exercising American global leadership.'

Tam Dalyell, the independently minded Labour MP and father of the House of Commons commented: "This is a blueprint for US world domination — a new world order of their making. These are the thought processes of fantasist Americans who want to control the world. I am appalled that a British Labour Prime Minister should have got into bed with a crew which has this moral standing."

Identity BNP
MAGAZINE OF THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY

Editor: Nick Griffin

October 2002

Public reference:

ISSN 1469-7971

Subscription telephone:

0870 75 73 267

Articles may be submitted for publication to the email address below in typed/electronic format

PO Box 107, Wigton,

Cumbria, CA7 0YA

Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

Articles Update

In order to increase quality please note that from now on only typed or electronic formatted articles will be considered for Identity. Plus all images/photos to be scanned at a resolution of 300dpi, where possible please supply the original image/photo.

New Labour stoke the fires of discontent

While Blair and Blunkett can retire to their country houses with their bodyguards and security cameras, it will be ordinary people on both sides of the ethnic and religious divide in these communities who will be suffering the consequences of New Labour's dangerous political games.



The British National Party is routinely accused by its political opponents of stoking the fires of discontent in areas where it is active and enjoys popular support. The reality, as everybody knows, is quite the opposite. Since arriving on the scene, the BNP has actually dampened these already raging fires by providing a political voice to tens of thousands of angry and discontented people who have suffered years of injustice, discrimination and intimidation purely because they are white and British. As a result of the BNP's existence and continuing success, in fact, a small portion of the balance is now being restored, reducing the level of tension and anger that previously permeated these communities.

And that, after all, is one of the main reasons for the BNP's existence: to diffuse the tension and address the problems that our political opponents have caused in towns and cities across the UK through their irresponsible and destructive policies. Our aim is to improve the lives of people in these communities and to eliminate injustice and discrimination in whatever shape or form. And that is why we always refrain from inflammatory language or irresponsible posturing that might exacerbate already volatile situations.

If only the same could be said of our Prime Minister and Home Secretary. On one hand, Tony Blair talks almost hysterically of 'good against evil', of destroying 'barbarism' and of paying a 'blood-price' in his unquestioning support of George W Bush's plans to launch a war against Iraq as part of Washington's New World Order agenda. Meanwhile, Blair seems oblivious to the danger that this posturing policy represents not only in stoking the fires of Islamic resentment and revenge in the Middle East, but inside Britain too.

And, of course, the reason there is a danger inside Britain too is because he, his government and his New Labour Party have been consciously encouraging the Islamification of towns and cities across the country as part of their dogmatic quest to create a multi-cultural Britain. Between April and June of this year, for example, the official number of asylum seekers enter-

ing Britain rose to 20,400 – a 28 % increase on the previous year. And which country topped the list with 3,420 refugees? : Iraq. On top of this, Blair and his government have been allowing thousands of known Islamist extremists to enter Britain, to live here – often at taxpayer's expense – and to continue to promote their violent cause from here.


Yet these facts have not stopped Blair's Home Secretary, David Blunkett, from persisting with his empty posturing designed to hoodwink us all into believing that New Labour is saving the country from being 'swamped' by asylum seekers, 'maniac' criminals and Muslim fanatics. The reason for this strategy is now abundantly clear. As Lord Herman Ouseley, former chairman of the Commission for Racial Equality, recently wrote in *The Guardian*, Blunkett is attempting to "rescue disillusioned white working-class communities from the grasp of the BNP." "No one should doubt", Ouseley wrote, "Mr Blunkett's and New Labour's determination to steer the disillusioned white voter into their camp and away from the BNP."

This, however, is an extremely risky strategy for the government to employ. And it is doomed to failure. On one hand, more and more white people in places like Oldham, Burnley and Bradford are increasingly aware that, away from the media sound-bites, New Labour is enthusiastically and energetically encouraging the destruction of British culture and identity. Blunkett himself recently wrote that immigration and the 'diversity' that it brings "is not only right; it is desirable. It brings immense social, economic and cultural benefits to our society."

On the other hand, there is now the added danger that Blunkett's inflammatory public rhetoric – coming on top of Tony Blair's war plans against Iraq – will provoke and further alienate Muslims in these areas leading to more tensions, conflict and anti-white violence. But while Blair and Blunkett can retire to their country houses with their bodyguards and security cameras, it will be ordinary people on both sides of the ethnic and religious divide in these communities who will be suffering the consequences of New Labour's dangerous political games.

Grasping the Future Today

NICK GRIFFIN explains why the BNP's huge potential for electoral success over the next two years depends on the quality of work we do in the next six months



“Disaster! BNP storms into Europe on back of council victories.” “Harmony rules! Multicultural Britain breathes sigh of relief as BNP misses out on EU power.” In June 2004, a headline like one or other of these will appear in the Establishment media. For the first time, a British nationalist party is getting into position to be a realistic challenger to win seats in a major parliamentary election. The rewards — in terms of credibility, publicity, growth and extra funds — are obvious. What is less obvious is the fact that, in all probability, the question of whether or not we will make this giant leap forward in June 2004 will be decided not in the few weeks leading up to that European election, but in the six months starting now.

The potential of the June 2004 European elections
 Elections to the European parliament (which, as nationalists, we oppose but, as radicals, must exploit) are run with a system of Proportional Representation in nine unequally sized regions in England, plus one each in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. The percentage of the vote required to win seats varies according to the size of a region. Thus, for example, a party must gain 25% of the vote to win a seat in a small region such as North East England which gets just four Members of the European Parliament (MEPs), whereas a mere 9% can be enough to get an MEP in an eleven-seat region such as South East England.

As a result, there are several of the larger regions in which a well-run British National Party campaign, coupled with a measure of pre-established credibility as winners and tweakers of the Establishment’s tail, could see us just squeezing over the threshold needed to win a seat. This in turn will have an enormous impact on our potential over the following few years; it is no exaggeration to say that getting MEPs elected in June 2004 would be the catalyst that will turn the British National Party from being a high-profile irritant for the Powers That Be into the popular mass movement that will sweep their treason, corruption and failure into the litter bin of history.

Fortunately, the timing of the electoral cycle is such that there is every chance that we will enter the 2004 Euro campaign with the massive advantage of winning a string of local council election victories just one month before polling day. This is not just because our growing professionalism in election campaigns, membership growth and organisational expansion are increasing the number of council seats we can expect to win in normal elections in the future.

The potential of the May 2004 council elections

More important still is the fact that the May 2004 council elections are far from normal in a number of key areas. Just as we owe our victories in Burnley this May to boundary changes which resulted in the whole council being up for re-election, with three seats available in each ward instead of the usual single vacancy, so the same opportunity is going to exist in May 2004 in Oldham, Blackburn, Halifax and Sunderland.

Under such a system, our results in May would have given us seats in Oldham, and quite possibly one in Sunderland as well, while Halifax and in particular Blackburn could well have given us similar results had we contested them. With recent meetings for the new branches in each of these two growth area towns well into three figures, we only have to keep on our present course to stand a serious chance not only of winning a block of seats on Oldham council, but also of getting councillors elected in Blackburn, Halifax and Sunderland, as well as in the other areas — including London, parts of the West Midlands and West Yorkshire — where support is now approaching the level at which we can win in the single seat elections that will be held there on the same day.

So you can imagine the media and opposition hysteria. Yet again we’ll see a nationwide election campaign in which the BNP is permanently in the news, on everybody’s lips, and in the minds of huge numbers of voters as they enter the polling booth in the sort of Euro election which the British electorate have already repeatedly shown themselves willing to take a bit of a chance on someone new. In 1989 15% voted Green. In 1999 they elected three anti-EU MEPs. In 2004 it could just as easily be us!

May 2003 is the key

I say ‘easily’ but, of course, it will be far from easy. Not just because the opposition will try every trick in the book to interfere with the democratic right of the British people to make a free and informed choice. No, the biggest problem of all is our own laziness and slapdash approach to our Cause. Winning in June 2004 is possible, but only if we win — and in more than one or two places — in May 2004. And we can only realistically hope to win convincingly in those May 2004 council elections if we have first won more coun-

cil seats the year before — in other words, next May.

Furthermore, it is crucial that every single BNP official and organiser in the country understands and acts on the fact that we will not win local council elections in the last six weeks before the actual poll, but in the six months leading up to the campaign, the time when no-one else is doing anything and we have the opportunity to show the electorate that the British National Party isn't like the other political parties, and that we are not only there when chasing votes. That's why the party leadership announced at the start of the summer our target of fighting at least 200 seats in May 2003, and stressed that, within those 200; each branch must start really serious target ward work straight away.

What makes a target ward? Well, picking the right one is a start, but there's far more than that.

A target ward is only worthy of the title when: 1) It has received several issues of a cheaply produced bi-monthly ward newsletter, focussing on local problems and how the local British National Party is already working to help sort them out; 2) That newsletter includes the name of the adopted BNP candidate, not saying that he or she is going to stand, but taking every opportunity to mention the name in connection with good work on behalf of local residents; 3) A team of smartly dressed activists is methodically canvassing or selling Freedom door-to-door, keeping an accurate record of all the positive responses, and on course to have covered at least two-thirds of the entire ward by Christmas at the latest.

Work must be in progress now

If your local branch is planning to stand next May and these things aren't being done, now, then either your organiser needs to be sacked, or you aren't giving him or her the level of support needed to turn a pipe-dream victory into reality. Inability to produce good quality local publicity material is no longer an excuse as the latest addition to the party's full-time national staff, Mark Collett, has as one of his core jobs the design of such items for groups as yet unable to do their own. As for canvassing, we know from experience that it makes a huge difference to our vote, and we now have experienced canvassers in every region to show new recruits the ropes, so there is no excuse at all for not "knocking those doors" and building up the local credibility needed to win.

Naturally, we cannot expect the opposition to sit around and leave us an open field. Each election will see a further wave of smears. There's nothing we can do about that fact. What we can do, however, is to minimise the believability of such smears. With the chance of a big electoral breakthrough now just 20 months away, it is more important than ever that we keep promoting the moderate, popular nationalism, which is what the voters in places like Burnley, Oldham, the Black Country, Sunderland and parts of London have already shown they are willing to 'buy'.

That does not mean that we've got to be boring, as shown by our highly successful — both in terms of the activity itself and the subsequent publicity — recent lightning demonstration against both Blair's war and Islamic fundamentalism outside the Finsbury Park mosque. We already knew the value of carefully chosen street activity and publicity stunts on issues which really matter to ordinary people, and against which the far-left do not have time to organise large enough numbers to provide the pictures of confrontation which the media would use to damn us — unfairly but effectively — as 'troublemakers'.

Distancing ourselves from cranks and troublemakers

But the Finsbury Park activity did highlight one danger of such activities. This is not a reference to the pathetic 20-odd members of the pro-immigration, anti-British Anti-'Nazi' League, who were so outnumbered by our BNP contingent that they were reduced to playing a tape recording of chants at one of the giant ANL demonstrations, held at least a decade ago, on a ghetto blaster in an abortive attempt to make themselves heard. No, our most dangerous opponents at Finsbury Park were the thirty or so National Front supporters who turned up to 'support' our demonstration.

Individually, there's no doubt that some of these are perfectly decent people, motivated by the same concerns for the future of our country and people as our own activists. But put them in their NF gang, give them each a few of pints of lager, and have one or two political retard or agents provocateurs shouting moronic slogans and flapping their right arms in the air, and decent, well-intentioned lads become a deadly propaganda gift to the enemy we all know about in the mass media.

The right-arm problem was why the BNP leadership made a conscious decision to start to popularise the old Churchillian V-for-Victory salute. Excited crowds of youngsters in particular will do something with their arms, there's nothing we can do to alter that. The only thing that we might be able to change is what they do. That being the case, a salute with impeccably British credentials has to be a thousand times better than something both foreign and, in the eyes of the vast majority of ordinary Britons, abhorrent. It's self-evident commonsense, but anyone who has had the misfortune to witness the NF's brand of political self-emasculation or to hear an old 'hard-line' dinosaur spouting his self-serving nonsense that "image doesn't matter" and muttering about how wicked Churchill was, will know that there are still some individuals out on the fringes for whom commonsense is one of life's great unknowns.



Anti-social behaviour...
voter alienation...
prison

Guarding against infiltrators and agents provocateur

Such people — especially if they allow themselves to be consumed with envy over the fact that their own efforts in nationalist polities were somewhat less successful than our own — are easy targets for those who stoke resentments, and who work for our enemies consciously rather than unconsciously: the infiltrators and *agents provocateur*.

Some newer readers might be inclined to think that such creatures exist only in spy novels but, sadly, such warped, money-

grubbing individuals are all too real a danger. Time and time again over the last four decades or more, trusting nationalists have been taken in by these tough-talking ‘hardliners,’ loud-mouthed drunks like Ray Hill and Matthew Collins, or quieter, conspiratorial weasels, scurrying from branch to branch mixing gossip, half-truths and downright lies to turn people against each other and against whoever is in charge at the time. Lowest of all are the agents provocateurs who gather around themselves a group of impatient but naïve youngsters, pump them full of tales of past confrontations and gloomy talk of how the ballot box road is a dead end, point them in the direction of the nearest mosque or synagogue, then tip off the police and get the suckers jailed, in order to get the dual reward of another thirty pieces of silver and seeing genuine nationalists smeared by association with counter-productive violence.

We’ve seen plenty of such tricks in the past and there’s no doubt that we’ll see many more over the next few months. This is why small circulation private magazines and Internet chat rooms run by every Big-Fish-In-A-Tiny-Puddle ‘nationalist’ are full not of criticism of the liberal ‘élite’ who are destroying our country, but of the most scurrilous and dishonest attacks imaginable on the leadership of the British National Party.

If these people really thought that our moderate, sensible, mainstream approach was, as they say “alienating the membership and confusing the public,” then the proper and politically most productive course for them to take would be to stop complaining about what the BNP is doing, lead by example, and go off and do their own Grand Old Duke of York impressions with ‘hardline’ marches, cheap promises of compulsory repatriation and much waving of stiff right arms. We’d be far better off without them anywhere near us, with the dividing line between the mainstream BNP and the cranky fringe drawn even more clearly. Then everyone could see who was who and whose approach brings political success.

But, of course, success isn’t what these people are about. They know perfectly well that such things are worse than futile. That’s precisely the point. Their horizons are limited by other factors, very often in combination: alcohol; jealousy and revenge for being exposed as second rate; the need to be part of a gang to make up for their own social ineptitude; the hand-outs that grasses get from Special Branch or *Searchlight*; even, in at least one case, ideological commitment to Marxism — all sorts of things fuel such creatures’ hatred of the British National Party in general and of the team powering it forward in particular.

Pursuing our strategy for success

Fortunately, however, only one thing is needed to render their most frantic efforts to stop us absolutely ineffective: Simply refuse to take any notice of them. That’s the strategy we’ve adopted for many months now and this has seen the BNP enjoying the biggest surge in growth in its entire history. This in turn is creating the conditions in which we can plan and work realistically to win more council seats next May, and get our bandwagon rolling even faster on the last twelve months leading up to the biggest electoral opportunity in the history of British nationalism.

Meanwhile, hanging over all our endeavours — and those of our increasingly worried opponents as well — hangs the shadow of a war that will put the genocidal multiracial ‘experiment’ under far greater pressure than anything we have ever experienced before. This only adds to our potential and to the urgency with which we must organise to fulfil it. Blair and Co. — or at least their advisors — must know perfectly well that the war fever that they and their friends in *The Sun* and *The Express* are whipping up will inevitably lead to a wave of spontaneous attacks on people and property seen by the public as being on the other side.

Indeed, the kind of attacks on German-owned corner shops at the start of both World Wars are already taking place against Asian businesses and mosques. The differences are that, this time, some of those targeted genuinely do support the other side, and have sufficiently large numbers both to retaliate and to carry out miniature ‘Holy Wars’ of their own against innocent Brits who they see as being on the same side as Mr Blair. Anyone who thinks this analysis is inaccurate needs only look at how just these factors created the worst (or, more likely, the first) race riot in Scunthorpe just two days before this piece was written. When the enemy was German, the war propagandists had to work very hard to get the public to believe that a “fifth column” disguised as nuns and phoney policemen was infiltrating Britain. This time, they’ve got an impossible task convincing the public that many among some three million Muslims aren’t fifth columnists already!

It’s up to us

No wonder the armoured police land-rovers brought over last year from Belfast to near Bradford are still waiting in their compound near the M62. No wonder most British police forces have now followed Home Office advice and equipped themselves with baton-guns to fire plastic bullets. No wonder the British National Party — the only organisation with the faintest chance of providing an effective lead for a mass, democratic, popular, electoral rebellion against the people who brought the potential for ethnic civil war to our tortured cities — faces the relentless hostility of every anti-British element in the country.

In normal times, such opposition might be enough to halt or derail the wheels of the train of History. But these are not normal times. Just over one year ago, a group of Islamic fanatics threw us into what the ancient Chinese would have referred to as “Interesting Times.”

To the Chinese, and to any ruling ‘élite’ which relies on stability and relative prosperity to keep its subjects quiet while it loots and abuses them, “Interesting Times” are a curse. To a radical political movement striving to awaken a nation from a nightmare of systematic and deliberate destruction, “Interesting Times” are an opportunity that comes once, and once only. Whether or not we take full advantage of that opportunity depends on each individual reading this and doing just that extra bit over the next six months, six vital months that will decide whether we will be a footnote in History, or whether we will make and write it. The choice is yours.

Spirit of the Age 2

By IAN CHRISTIE



Daniel Defoe

Daniel Defoe is best remembered as the author of famous British novels such as *Robinson Crusoe* and *Moll Flanders* — even though in this politically correct world he has long ceased to receive the credit and esteem that he and his books deserve. But Defoe was also a radical political thinker and writer who was actually imprisoned for his beliefs.

He was born in 1660, the son of a London butcher, James Foe, at a time of much political upheaval — religion and choice of faith being the biggest issues. Daniel's earliest memories were of London streets filled with opposite groups defending their thoughts and interests. He also recalled the Great Plague of 1665, which killed thousands in London. The Foe family was spared by the foresight of the father, who moved his family to a safe place, with sufficient provision, descending on the London streets again only when the Plague had subsided.

Highly educated dissenter

The Foes were good parents to Daniel, constantly encouraging his inquisitive nature. They played a major part in his early education, since he didn't begin formal education until the age of 14. His mother, Mary, was credited with fostering Daniel's interest in scriptural meaning and style. When the Papists were rumoured to have seized all the Bibles, she charged young Daniel with copying the entire Bible, both Old and New Testaments. He was suddenly introduced to a world of Gods and Devils, his young mind tormented by thoughts of witches, demons, and sorcerers. He suffered nightmares throughout the rest of his life.

James Foe was a Dissenter, who refused to take an oath of loyalty to the Church of England. Accordingly Daniel was not sent to study at Oxford or Cambridge, but to an academy in Newington Green, which was administered by the Reverend Charles Morton, whose standard of teaching almost paralleled any university. Along with his classical studies Daniel learned Latin and Greek, as well as Spanish, French, Dutch, and Italian, all of which helped him look to a career as a writer and pamphleteer rather than take up the ministry as his father wished.

As the years passed Daniel became uncomfortable with the thought of becoming a clergyman, and his father invited him to join the family business.

Tiring of being a butcher Daniel began his own business in 1683, dealing in drugs, stockings, and perfumes. The following year he married Mary Tuffley, and over the years they had eight children.

Political pamphleteer and rebel

He began to earn fame through his pamphlets, and their effect on

the contemporary political scenario. He became actively involved in politics, and politicians used him for his skills of oratory. He was assigned an unofficial duty, which helped sway public opinion in favour of England-Scotland union. The details of this were never made public. Defoe also participated in the unsuccessful rebellion led by the Duke of Monmouth against the Roman Catholic King, James II.

Defoe was climbing the social ladder, moving in the company of aristocrats and upper-class politicians. He felt his surname somewhat mediocre, and so, to make it sound more gentlemanly, he added the prefix "De" and changed his name legally.

Not all Defoe's affairs, however, brought him the fame he sought. His 1703 pamphlet, *The Shortest Way With The Dissenters*, led him to the stocks where he was displayed for public ridicule. But no one turned up to carry out the customary throwing of rotten fruit; such was the public respect for this True-Born Englishman.

Successful novelist and journalist

In 1713, for further political offences, he was imprisoned for some time, during which his business failed. Thereafter he never actively engaged in trade, but continued as a wordsmith, writing pamphlets. His most popular novel, *Robinson Crusoe*, was published in 1719, gaining immense popularity among lower and middle class readers. His experience of Newgate Prison, are reflected in his novel, *Moll Flanders*, which work established him as a social historian.

Defoe had in effect successfully re-invented himself finding a new medium with which to put across his ideas and concerns. The rest of his life was spent writing. He was associated with some newspapers, and was engaged in writing a travel book, biographies of criminals, as well as working on economic issues. Defoe's ability to adapt the nature and style of his message in light of his own personal experiences and maturity ensured that he was able to extend the reach of his ideas far beyond that of a political pamphleteer. He died on April 26th, 1731, at his lodgings in Ropemakers' Alley, Moorfields.

Burned down during the Great Fire of 1666, rebuilt in 1672. Newgate Prison was to become Defoe's home for several months of the year in 1713.



IDENTITY interviews three BNP members committed to defending the British Countryside and its traditions from the fascistic repression of New Labour

THE FARMER

PETER CHANTLER, 39, owns a 125-acre farm in North Devon, on the edge of Exmoor, where he keeps 300 Exmoor Horns.



"When I took on this farm six years ago, the projections showed a hard but decent living. The last few years have been disastrous, although it looks as though I'll scrape a small profit this year. In effect I run an organic farm, but I do so unofficially in order to avoid the paperwork. I don't use fertilizers and while of course I drench for worms, I use a non-biological dip, Vetrazin.

"I first became active politically when I led the campaign against the local Lib-Dem MP, Nick Harvey, who got himself elected in North Devon by posing as a Euro-sceptic and voting against Margaret Thatcher's Maastricht Treaty. But during his failed bid for the Lib-Dem leadership in 1999, he turned his coat and announced his support for the Euro and hence a federal Europe.

"I was furious and organised a demonstration. More than 300 angry local voters heckled Harvey and demanded his resignation. Then we held a St. George's Day protest against his sell-out and I was arrested for lying down in the street,

"I had been a Conservative, but I was appalled by the pathetic failure of the Tory party to do anything effective about the Euro menace, to back protesters who actually did more than talk about how bad things are getting, and the pinko drift of the leadership. I looked at both UKIP and the BNP, but while both are equally good on preserving British sovereignty, UKIP are a one-issue pressure group who avoid expressing opinions on other important issues such as law and order or economic problems. The British National Party, by contrast, says the things that so many country people like me have been thinking for years.

"I attend Countryside Alliance demonstrations to defend British traditions and the right of the countryside to organise its own affairs. We're responsible people and we know how to run the

countryside far better than Whitehall. On Exmoor, for example, the deer population was almost extinct after World War Two. It was the hunt that nurtured them back. If hunting is banned, the deer will be wiped out — and the heart will be ripped out of the rural community as well.

"Now that market prices have improved quite a bit, the biggest day-to-day headache for farmers like me is the red tape octopus that's strangling us. A new, thick, heavy envelope with even more regulations lands on my doorstep every week. We're weighed down with more regulations now than at the height of the Foot and Mouth disaster, but the only restriction that can really help prevent a repeat of that is a total ban on the import of 'bushmeat' and cheap farm imports from places like China — and yet these are precisely the things about which 'our' anti-British, anti-countryside government refuses to take effective action.

"Instead, all we get is more red tape. Whether it moves or not, you can bet that there's a glossy brochure explaining how, from now on, it's going to be regulated. I just want to be free to farm, and it was the BNP's promise to make a giant bonfire of red tape that first attracted me to the party.

It's not just farming of course. Everyone from small businessmen to teachers and police officers face the same problem. A sensible, truly democratic BNP government will scrap every single existing regulation and start afresh, working on the basis that such restrictions should only be imposed when absolutely vital, rather than on the slightest excuse at present.

"With these problems getting worse, and with New Labour on a collision course with the countryside, the time for beating about the bush or relying on the parties that helped get us into this mess is over. That's why I'm proud to stand up and be counted with the countryside protesters — and the British National Party."

THE SMALLHOLDERS

GEORGE MANNING and his wife have spent 22 years building up a smallholding flock of purebred Suffolk's, partly for the love of it and partly to provide an extra income following early retirement from running an independent group of menswear shops in West County cities such as Bath. They now run 50 ewes on nine West Country acres of their own and rent another nine acres, specialising in selling breeding rams.

"We've both been to all the national Countryside Alliance protests. It's not just about



**George Manning
hard at work**

the freedom to hunt, but the way in which the whole fabric of rural life is under attack from bureaucracy and unfair economic pressure from supermarkets and cheap foreign imports that no government that really cared about animal welfare, health, or about preserving our national independence, would allow.

“New Labour are prepared to let British agriculture collapse, just like the Tories destroyed our coal and steel industries for the same reason. There is a secret but deliberate government policy to destroy Britain’s economic independence. The ‘Liberty’ we march for isn’t just a personal matter in connection with field sports, we are fighting for our national freedom as well.

“People working in the country often get far less than the similar city rate. When wealthy ‘refugees’ from the cities then snap up property, local youngsters can’t compete. We don’t mind working long hours — most farmers do the job for the love of it — but the rewards must be high enough to allow rural communities to survive.

“And we must be freed from all the red tape. Even running a mere 50 sheep entails a mountain of paperwork. It’ll get even worse next year when yet another change to the ear tag rules means that every single sheep will have to have a separate ear tag, and that every time one or two get ripped out on fences we’ll have to round them all up and try to work out which tag-less animal is which. Bad enough for us, but impossible expensive for a big commercial flock. Just another turn of the screw by the Eurocrats, Government and DEFRA with their common policy of forcing as many farmers as possible off the land.

“I used to shoot full bore target pistols but, of course, had to hand them in when labour used the Dunblane Massacre as the excuse the attack shooters’ rights. Target rifles are on the way out, and then it’ll be our shotguns. Then the extremists will turn on angling, while the Nanny State socialists ban ‘dangerous’ sports such as boxing too.

“We have to stand together and defend rights that we don’t personally use, and may not even approve of. Otherwise, when it’s our own rights that the government attacks, there’ll be no one left to stand up and protest for us. That’s one of the reasons I support the British National Party.”

THE CITY MAN

CHRIS ROBERTS is 43. Married with two teenaged children, he worked for many years as a successful insurance broker in the City. Brought up in Lewisham, South London, like so many other true Londoners he moved out to the Essex suburbs a few years ago having had more ‘diversity’ than he and his family could cope with any longer. He now works full time for the British National Party as its London Regional Organiser.

“It’s vital for us to preserve the traditions that give us our national and community identities, and that includes country pursuits. I think that country people and communities should be left to decide how to run their own lives and areas. Britain’s countryside, and especially the South East, is being raped by road-building and new housing developments. It’s partly because of population pressure caused by immigration and social breakdown, and partly because successive governments have been run by individuals who think only about the short-term and about profits for their friends in the construction industry, rather than realising that a vibrant agricultural sector is the key to national well-being and independence.

“If the farmers and the countryside succumb to the fate new Labour have in store for them, then sooner or later those of us who live in urban Britain will have to pay a terrible price. We shouldn’t let the BBC and Blair’s spin-doctors portray it as a conflict between Town and Country. It’s a battle between the liberal-left ‘elite’ and their ‘Cool Britannia’ demolition project on one side, and every single Briton who values our traditions, freedoms and cultural identity on the other.”



**City man
Chris Roberts**

It's a funny old game

SIMON DARBY recounts the remarkable story of 'The Famous Tipton Boilers'

Just a few months before the local elections, members of a local Sunday football team based in Tipton approached the BNP with the concept of sponsoring them for the forthcoming season. Naturally, with growing support in Tipton, we were more than happy to provide a few hundred pounds as a way of thanking the people of the Prince's End ward for the five hundred plus votes we received in May's local elections. The West Midlands treasurer and myself signed the cheque, John Salvage provided them with a BNP logo-graphic and that was that.

Media frenzy



We had almost forgotten about the deal until a conversation with one of the team officials revealed how proud they were of playing in their new patriotic strip.

Mindful of what could quite likely be some good publicity from a unique

sponsorship deal, I decided to let a couple of local media outlets have first bite and duly informed my contacts in local television and newspapers. It took a few days for the penny to drop but by the Thursday it was clear the story was going to run and we had to make sure we had a shirt to show them. Even whilst being filmed and photographed, none of us really could have expected what was to happen in the next few days.

By Thursday evening reports started to come in of a main headline in *The Express & Star* newspaper complete with a full colour photograph. Even as this news was being digested, *Central News* was running the story as its main item, with *BBC Midlands Today* following suit shortly afterwards. That evening also saw coverage on *BBC radio Five Live* and *BBC Radio 2*, and as well as several Press Association interviews, there was a very heavy duty interview for *BBC's Radio Four's Today Programme*.

By early Friday morning it was obvious the story was going to go supernova. The rest of the day was spent with a telephone in either hand giving interviews or reports to what seemed like the whole of Britain's media. In fact even as I was driving up to Stoke to hand in the nomination papers for the mayoral election, I was still giving and arranging interviews. Having returned from Stoke I had both *Channel Five* and *BBC* reporters turning up later in the day.

Panic and hypocrisy

The hypocritical accusation that we were politicising the game of football was soon dismissed when the left-wing Football Supporters Association started to panic and accused the BNP of being on a par with the anti-Christ and blasted the team itself for accepting "blood money". Of course most football fans know that the Football Association and its friends in the Race Relations industry are behind the 'kick racism out of football campaign.' Like many of my fellow supporters, I feel particularly offended by the way the game of football has been hijacked for the purposes of pernicious social engineer-

ing and used as yet another opportunity to remind white people of how wicked and bad we all are. However, admiring the skills of black players does not necessarily mean we want our children and grandchildren to be black themselves. I wonder what would be said if a black music festival, for instance, carried a comparable campaign about mugging.

By Friday night the story itself had started to take on a life of its own. The local reporter at *Central News* had decided to put a nice, fluffy multicultural ending to the tale by highlighting how a Sikh businessman had offered to provide an alternate strip if the team were banned. Things were starting to go out of control and both myself and John joined other BNP members in Tipton that night to consult with the players and officials to see what could be done for Sunday's game. A call from the BBC later on confirmed that a satellite truck from BBC2 would be sent to the match and an opportunity to have the last laugh presented itself.

Grandstand finish

On Sunday morning, on my way down the M6 I was informed that the police had paid a visit to the local pub. They had told members of the team and supporters that if they would face arrest for incitement if the BNP shirts were worn. The decision by the local constabulary, however, proved not to be a wise one. Within hours at least ten percent of the population of the entire country were informed of this outrage courtesy of *Sunday Grandstand*. The rest of the interview itself went well, BBC presenter Adrian Chiles commented on the BNP spokesman being "more like the local vicar" and even Stan Collymore said he did not have a problem with the shirts.

Looking back on the incident, in football parlance the party had scored a sensational publicity goal, only to be pegged back by a hastily arranged substitute sponsor. However, just as time was running out on the story we got the winner with a spectacular appearance on *Sunday Grandstand*. It's a funny old game, politics.

The famous Tipton Boilers shirts can be obtained by sending a cheque or postal order by registered/recorded delivery to:

PO BOX 2547, CANNOCK, STAFFS, WS12 4GA

TRADE/BRANCH/REGION enquiries — please call 07077 820257 or email bnp@bnp.to

Prices:- £35.00 including registered postage and packaging, £40 for international orders. Please make payments payable to 'BNP'.

Available in Large (42/44) only at present but please state required size and we will try and adjust requirements for the next stock

purchase. The first six orders received will be allocated one of the original numbered shirts.

These are high quality shirts, made to a Premier/Nationwide league standard and make a unique and thoughtful gift. As featured on *BBC Sunday Grandstand*, *ITV*, *Sky News*, *Channel Five*, *The Times*, *Radio Two*, *Radio Four*, *The Daily Telegraph*...



Lifting the lid on Pandora's Box

DARREN JAMES exposes New Labour's dangerous support for the global corporations promoting genetically modified food

Alarming findings revealed recently by English Nature confirm some of the worse fears of anti-GM campaigners. Pollen from genetically modified Canadian oilseed rape has been demonstrated as being responsible for the creation of herbicide resistant "super weeds" through cross pollination with non GM plants. These "super weeds" require the use of far greater amounts of herbicide than would normally be the case for non-GM oilseed rape. One aspect of this is that the soil, in which the GM oilseed rape is grown, becomes heavily contaminated with herbicides. This soil poisoning is exactly the reverse of what GM advocates have claimed — according to them the growing of GM crops should require next to no herbicides and result in "clean soil"!

But the problem is clearly more widespread and not just confined to UK grown oilseed rape. GM sunflower crops in the United States and sugar beet crops in France have both been found to have cross-pollinated non-GM plants, also resulting in the creation of "super weeds". Allison Snow of Ohio State University said she was "shocked" by the findings of an American study and added "I just think we need to be careful because genes can be very valuable for a weed and persist for ever once they are out there" (our emphasis - Ed). Worse still it is recognised that the consumption of some varieties of "rogue" GM products could lead to "super resistance" in humans to most antibiotics — in theory rendering our most effective antibiotics useless. Unfortunately, they don't tell you that on the corporate GM seed packets!

New Labour and the GM lobby

These findings, quite rightly, should start alarm bells ringing. After all, if this is the environmental impact within the relatively small area of UK GM trial sites, who knows what the impact would be on the environment and ultimately on public health, should these crops be grown commercially on a nation-wide basis? And although profit orientated GM globalist giants like Aventis and Monsanto like to think they have all the answers, the brutal truth is nobody knows for sure.

Apparently there are alarm bells ringing in the corridors of power - ringing, however, only because of the unwelcome media attention the issue is receiving. As a result, New Labour has decreed that the crop trials are to be suspended — suspended presumably for as long as it takes the media to lose interest in the issue.

New Labour's support for GM technology should not be underestimated. The Welsh Assembly declared Wales a GM free zone — a decision Westminster ignored when subsequently granting licences for GM crop trials in the principality. New Labour's pro GM stance becomes even more blatant over the issue of crop separation distances. It is universally recognised that the cordon sanitaire between GM crops and neighbouring non-GM crops (currently a farcical minimum of 160 feet) is wholly inadequate. New Labour flunkies know full well that oilseed rape pollen, for instance, can travel up to three miles — making it almost impossible to conduct such crop trials safely within the United Kingdom. Does this deter the blinkered New



One of the many government sanctioned GM crop fields

Labour dogmatists? Not for a moment — they just press ahead with their madcap schemes regardless of the consequences. Such irresponsibility borders on the criminal, they are opening a Pandora's box

and have no idea what they could be unleashing on us all.

Can it really be that our New Labour aristocracy is so arrogant as to believe deceit and spin can triumph over the most fundamental laws of nature? That, perhaps, politically correct GM pollen will refuse, as a matter of socialist principal, to be blown on the wind for more than 160 feet? Maybe, but then again another explanation suggests itself. Could it be that financially embarrassed New Labour is engaging in an unhealthy degree of "cross pollination" of its own with the globalist GM giants who stand to profit so obscenely through the underhand wide scale introduction of GM crops?

Monsanto and Agent Orange

One biotech multinational that New Labour is waving its collecting tin at is the US corporate giant Monsanto. Apart from being the world's largest producer of GM crops, with extensive interests in the UK, Monsanto's main claim to fame is as the producer of the notorious Agent Orange herbicide. Millions of gallons of this "safe" chemical warfare herbicide dioxin were sprayed over the forests of Vietnam in the 1960's. The military objective was to defoliate the forests, which provided operational cover for Viet Cong and North Vietnamese forces. It wasn't very long before it became apparent that this so-called "safe" herbicide was, in fact, extremely hazardous. With birth defects amongst the new-born rocketing and a huge increase in chronic carcinogenic and respiratory illnesses, it was realised that "safe" Agent Orange was far from safe. Like so many other perpetrators of corporate crime, before and since, Monsanto has fought long to evade culpability. And to this day, the soil throughout huge areas of Vietnam remains poisoned by Agent Orange dioxins — dioxins that even now, some forty years later, continue to claim new victims.

Monsanto maintain that their GM products are safe — but isn't that what they said about Agent Orange?

The author is the BNP's Land and People circle co-ordinator who can be contacted at Land & People PO Box 124, Newton Abbot TQ12 2AB

For an in-depth expose of the Monsanto Corporation we recommend a visit to the excellent Corporate Watch website at www.corporatwatch.org.



The 'African' into a blood

LEE BARNES examines the terrible death of the liberal dream in Africa

Soon after the African National Congress came to power in South Africa Thabo Mbeki, the country's ANC President, proclaimed to the world the start of the 'African Renaissance'. Free at last from the yoke of European Imperialism, the African people would unite to build a Pan-African Nation based upon democracy, human rights and the Rule of Law.

When the last of the Western Empires left the continent, they left behind them schools, hospitals, cities and functional democracies. And even though each of the newly independent nations of the former British Commonwealth had been left the most precious of European cultural artifacts -a written constitution framing full minority rights for all citizens of the nations- each soon reverted to savage type.

Corruption, war, disease and famine

Instead of the multi-cultural utopia manifesting the heaven on earth so long foretold for post-colonial Africa by white liberals in Europe, Africa has descended into a savage frenzy of catastrophic tribal nationalism, political corruption and ethnic warfare. The white European Liberal 'elite' have averted their eyes and pretended that these endless pogroms and slaughters were merely 'aberrations' on the road to the 'Rainbow Nation'. The belief that only Whites were capable of the evil of racism was, and still is, the chief article of faith for self-hating Liberal whites in Europe. As a result of this belief, the issue of Race has been repeatedly used by Africans and Liberals to silence any criticism of the Human Rights records of Africa nations.

Whilst millions have been butchered in internal tribal



wars such as Rwanda, Sierra Leone and Liberia or in national-ethnic conflicts such as Ethiopia and Eritrea, the Liberals and Leftists can only mutter the magical mantra that only more international aid will end all the conflicts. But as the billions have poured in, the more the conflicts have spread. Politician after politician, noticing the link between war and aid, have spurned the confines of democracy and crowned themselves the new emperors of Africa and embarked upon self enriching ethnic cleansing of their nations.

In the wake of war, moreover, famine has stalked the land killing millions more in countries such as Ethiopia and the Sudan who were feeding their huge armies with international aid whilst the people starved. The ancient African trade in slaves, war and weapons once again has prospered whilst the remnants of Western Civilization, both racial and cultural, have been impugned, denigrated and destroyed.

Crime wave and ethnic cleansing of whites

Countries such as South Africa have experienced a crime wave unparalleled in their long history. Disillusion with the speed of reform has manifested itself in the emergence of a vast armed and desperate criminal underclass. South Africa, in the words of one commentator, has become the 'rape capital of the world' crippled by crime and with a police force under constant siege from armed gangs of ex-terrorist fighters. Since



'African Renaissance' turns into a bloody nightmare

the ANC came to power, some 1400 White farmers have been murdered in what appears to be an organized campaign of ethnic cleansing. The Western media, of course, has almost completely ignored this mass murder

In the wake of this social chaos, many of those amongst the educated elite of all races and nations in Africa have fled to the West further damaging the continent's social infrastructure and economy. Diseases such as A.I.D.S and tuberculosis have reached pandemic proportions as welfare; education and healthcare systems have begun to collapse. In futile gestures of post-colonial guilt and misplaced paternalism, Foreign Aid budgets have increased and Third World debt has been cut thereby throwing fuel onto already out of control fires.

In response to the vast numbers of Africans arriving at British ports and airports, the Blair government has adopted an open door policy to any Black African that can mutter the magic words 'asylum seeker'. 35,000 were recently given British passports because one of their grandfathers may have once served gin and tonics to a colonial major during the British Empire. This largesse ends of course when whiteness begins. The descendants of white British citizens and farmers holding British passports who reside in Zimbabwe have been refused the right to stay in Britain even though they have been the victims of campaigns of murderous ethnic cleansing instituted by the murderous criminal Robert Mugabe. When it is whites being slaughtered in Africa then the Left always remain silent.

Black Africans and A.I.D.S flooding into Britain

The blood of the hundreds of betrayed and slaughtered white



British farmers and their families is on the hands of Tony Blair and his New Labour accomplices. The spread of A.I.D.S in Africa is now having a dramatic effect on the spread of A.I.D.S in Great Britain too. 75% of heterosexual transmissions of A.I.D.S in Great Britain in 2000 were in Africans with A.I.D.S resident in Britain or through people resident in Britain having sex with Africans in either Africa or in Europe.

Black African criminals guilty of genocide in conflicts such as Rwanda, have easily and unobtrusively found sanctuary in Britain, as African immigration rates into Europe have rocketed. Some, as in the case of Tharcisse Muvnyi, a colonel in the Rwandan Army implicated in the murder of 100,000 Tutsis, were found claiming unemployment benefits whilst living in a flat in Lewisham.

As a result of a policy of appeasement, anti-white racist demagogues such as Robert Mugabe have been allowed to cling to power in Zimbabwe with the support of nostalgic liberals in the West still entranced by their shallow post-colonial Communist rhetoric.

In the words of Wole Soyinka, the acclaimed Black Nobel prize-winning writer: "A wave of anomie, even a breakdown of humanity, is sweeping across the continent that must be so galling to those who so confidently trumpeted an 'African Renaissance'. What we see today is the opposite: a reversal of the progress that seemed to have been signalled by the end of apartheid. At the heart of this reversal is the Power Syndrome. And it is destroying Africa, country by country. Certainly, in Africa today the terrible suffering is not caused by external enemies, but from within. African leaders have created one another as their own worst enemies. And they are dragging their populations down into the abyss as they seek to establish their own individual domination."



The Menace of Global Capitalism

JOHN BEAN analyses the threat posed by economic globalisation to Britain's independence and identity



Nick Griffin's article in the August issue giving further evidence of the folly in tying Britain to the global capitalist system had some significant quotes from George Soros, the freelance billionaire financier. "The international financial system is coming apart at the seams," Soros said recently. This Hungarian origin financier should certainly know, having made a fortune by exploiting the system including forcing the devaluation of the pound back in the eighties. Commenting further on the global economic downturn, he told Reuters that it had "exposed the weakness of corporate America and how the US administration runs the international economic system."

Obscene greed

Let us just look at the current state of post-Enron Corporate America, riddled with corruption and consumed by greed, which the City of London is destined to follow under Labour, Tory or Lib Dem Economists. Neil Collins sums it up in his City Comment column in the Daily Telegraph of September 3rd.

"In America, executives and directors of 1,053 "failed" corporations have cashed in to the tune of \$66 billion in the past three years. This awesome statistic includes \$23 billion to 466 insiders in just 25 corporations, according to Thomson Financial and Chicago's Centre for Research in Securities Pricing for Fortune magazine.

As Fortune puts it: "Even as investors were losing ... top officials in many of the companies that have crashed the hardest were getting immensely, extraordinarily, obscenely wealthy."

There are eight companies where the senior management took out over \$1 billion, with Phil Anschutz, a director of Qwest Communications, leading the pack with \$1.57 billion on his own. This sum is beyond comprehension. Put it in the bank to earn 4pc, it would return over £100,000 a day in interest, enough to keep 2000 Britons in full-time employment on our national average wage.

Neil Collins continues: "Others cashed in fortunes of merely hundred of millions of dollars, and watched the stock prices of their companies collapse. Are they remotely embarrassed by

their actions? Goodness, no. One of them, Keith Krach of Ariba, realized \$191m, some from selling just two days after he was first allowed to, and is quoted as saying: "We really provided tremendous value."


British job losses


This scandalous behaviour of the global capitalists may at first glance be of only remote interest to British workers. Apart from the fact that the global crisis has already led to a reduction in expected pensions, both private and company pensions, there is a continual hemorrhaging of jobs in our manufacturing base as companies "go east" where labour costs are cheaper. Sometimes they "go west", as in the case of Corus, formerly British Steel, but now an Anglo-Dutch concern. At the end of July, Corus revealed that it had bought into Brazilian Steel to take advantage of South America's lower production costs and cheap labour. Now we know why Corus closed down its huge Ebbw Vale plant in South Wales recently and announced that it is shedding more than 6000 British jobs by July next year.

We also have the situation whereby many companies, particularly in the utilities, are being sucked into global ownership. The most recent classic example is the takeover of Wessex Water by YTL, the Malaysian construction group. According to the water company's chairman, Colin Skellett, this was forced on him last March by Wessex's US parent Azurix, in turn owned by the disgraced energy trader Enron! Before we show sympathy for Skellett, remember that the City of London Fraud Squad arrested him in August on suspicion of taking a £1m bribe from YTL.

The Chinese dragon

The irony of the shift of manufacturing from Britain, Europe and the United States to Eastern Europe, South East Asia and, in America's case, Mexico, is that it has all been largely funded by the West. When Poland, Hungary, and the Czech Republic become part of the EC the costs of meeting the mainly bureaucratic legislation will mean that their manufac-





turing bases will in turn be under threat. These too will move east. Not necessarily to Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand or even the more advanced economies of South Korea and Taiwan, but to China.

The falling dollar, recession and a crashing stock market has unleashed an unstoppable Chinese dragon. In recent years the


capitalist nations, as part of their global capitalist doctrine, have poured upwards of \$40bn a year of investment into Chinese factories and joint ventures. Few Western corporations have really made any returns of note from their Chinese ventures. They have simply shut our domestic capacity, cut costs and transferred skills. In the low cost producer stakes there is only one serious player: China. With a population of 1.3bn and wage rates just 5 per cent of those in the US or Japan, or 10 per cent of those in Britain, no one can compete. And since last year it has become a member of the World Trade Organisation, giving it even better access to world markets.

In an article in the Sunday Telegraph recently, Luke Johnson pointed out that China's economy is growing much faster than any of the great Western powers. Despite the turmoil in 2001 it still expanded by 9 per cent. It used to specialize in pure assembly operations and low value-added production such as clothes, toys and shoes. But between 1985 and 2001 its annual machinery and electronics exports rose from \$1.7bn to \$119bn. And the West has financed this, to make China the most ferociously competitive manufacturer in history!

Marx supported free trade

The original political labels we once knew have all been changed. The old Liberals were keen advocates of Free Trade. Today, Free Market liberals are called the "New Right" (at home in the Tory Party as well as New Labour) and promote globalisation and the free flow of labour, capital and technology, which we have seen undermining the traditional bonds of family, nation, and culture. They were once opposed by the Conservative Right, which believed that the State had a duty to intervene in the economy, protect the home market from cheap imports, and restrict immigration. Ever since Margaret Thatcher sold out to global capitalism, the buffoons in the Tory Party who have followed her have notably failed to follow The Party's former duties.

The Left today finds it convenient to show itself opposed to globalisation, which is in reality Free Trade in its ultimate form. Yet the Left stems from the same 'globalist' or internationalist ideals as its opposite number, Free Trade capitalism. Karl Marx supported Free Trade because he recognized its subversive nature in destroying traditional values of family and nation in the interests of money. Marx thought that the destructive nature of Free Trade in ruining family and national bonds would herald a new age of Communism. Instead, the Communist states collapsed in a mass of debt to the global




banks, and it is Global Capitalism that has triumphed throughout the world.

As far as I can see there is only one British political party that can offer any alternative to the economic, social and cultural damage being done to our nation by Global Capitalism. It is for that reason, as well as its staunch opposition to immigration, that I came out of obscure political retirement to support the British National Party. In outline, its answer is:

1. British ownership and control of British industry and resources, including the mass media.
2. Protection of British jobs and industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from the British market.
3. The introduction of tax incentives and other policies designed to ensure that the City finances British industry rather than foreign competitors."



Marx supported free trade as a means to help pave the way for Communism



BNP DEFENDING FREEDOM OF SPEECH

'FREEDOM OF SPEECH NOW' was the call by BNP activists during a two hour leafleting session held outside the Houses of Parliament last month as the second reading of the Religious Discrimination and Remedies Bill was taking place. Seven activists met up at very short notice after London YBNP organiser Tony Wentworth discovered that his local Labour MP, John Austin (Erith and Thamesmead) had introduced the Private Members Bill. This followed David Blunkett's enforced decision to drop anti-free speech measures from the Anti-Terrorism, Crime and Disorder Act last year. Austin was backed by senior Lib-Dem Simon Hughes, and Labour MP's Terry Rooney and Marsha Singh, who represent constituencies in Bradford where young Muslim extremists rioted last year attacking innocent whites and causing millions of pounds worth of damage.

If the bill becomes law, then patriots who speak up against the Islamification of Britain will face up to two years imprisonment simply for wanting a British Britain and exercising their right to Free Speech. Labour must have thought that their attempt to 'sneak' the Bill through would go unchallenged. However in just two hours the BNP team, led by Chris Roberts, the BNP's full-time London organiser, managed to give out nearly 1000 leaflets. The group started off outside the Houses of Parliament and then moved down to Labour's Millbank HQ. The leaflets were warmly received by the public and many individuals told the group how pleased they were to see the BNP campaigning in central London. We will keep you informed on the progress of the Bill, as it will have major implications for the BNP.

HALIFAX

There was standing room only on Wednesday 18th September as 140 local people, including 25 from nearby Queensbury, crammed into a room packed past capacity to enjoy a great atmosphere. Adrian Marsden in the chair spoke of the need for local candidates and for people to come forward and get active. Mark Collett spoke about the YBNP's Camp Excalibur, while Nick Griffin's speech was frequently interrupted by applause from an enthusiastic audience.

And, on the same evening in Nottingham, around 80 people heard Nick Griffin speak about the forces pushing Britain into a war against Iraq. There was unanimous support for his message: "Even if Saddam Hussein oppresses some of his own people and possibly threatens one or two of his neighbours, it's nothing to do with Britain. Iraq would sell us as much oil as we want because they need medicine, food and engineering

equipment to help rebuild their shattered country. Not a single drop of British blood should be shed in the quarrel between Bush and the pro-Israel lobby on one hand, and Iraq and the Islamic world on the other. Our real problem with Islamic fundamentalism is here in Britain, not several thousand miles away in the Middle East." The collection of more than £400 is being donated to help the Stoke mayoral election campaign.

Last month also saw a great meeting in Bournemouth where over 50 people heard speeches by dynamic local organiser Peter Shaw, Nigel Avery and John Salvage from the West Midlands, and Nick Griffin. Plans were also formulated for next year's council elections when a number of seats will definitely be fought in the area

Tony Lecomber chaired a Group Development meeting in Reading last month. 30 local members and supporters came along to help form the new Reading BNP group. New local officials were appointed and plans for future activities discussed and settled.

Also last month, Black Country organiser John Salvage chaired a 50-strong meeting in Whiteheath. Nigel Avery gave a rousing speech and Simon Darby gave the meeting an insight into the media fuss over the *Tipton Boilers* amateur football team BNP sponsorship story. The meeting raised more than £300.

COUNTRYSIDE MARCH



Country folk read
Countryside

More than 400,000 Countrysiders from all over the UK marched through London on Sunday 22nd September. Two marches — Liberty and Livelihood converged in Whitehall to demonstrate to Prime Minister Tony Blair that all is not well in the countryside.

In a carefully co-ordinated activity, the British National Party was at the Countryside March in force to show Countrysiders that there is a party which cares about the countryside — about the loss of village shops, bus services, rural poverty, declining farm incomes, 2nd home ownership forcing rural youngsters away from home, the destruction of village life and the imposition of urban 'political correctness' on country folk.

The hunting fraternity were there too, concerned at the loss of freedom to pursue country pursuits, as were anglers worried that if foxhunting is banned they will be next. These people are not just paranoid — 'animal rights' thugs have already beaten some anglers.

At five points, including Hyde Park, more than seventy BNP activists distributed more than 46,000 copies of a

specially produced paper — The Countrysider. The reception from country folk was uniformly friendly and BNP teams struggled to keep up with the demand from the marchers. The sun shone, the mood of the BNP teams and marchers was cheerful and the colourful array of flags and banners stood out against the green of Barbour jackets and hats.

The day was described by party members as “excellent” and “fantastic” with The Countrysider going like hot cakes. While the ordinary marchers were receptive to the party’s message, the same cannot be said for the leadership of the Countryside Alliance — a collection of country Tories and city toffs. In an article in the previous day’s Daily Mail, one intolerant ‘leader’ was quoted as saying that he’d asked police to “move on” any party activists that they found! Not on the basis of any offence being committed, but purely because this Stalinist Tory doesn’t like the BNP!

In the event, only one team were approached by the police who were then informed by party activists as to the law of the land. Two activists at the Embankment were hassled by CA stewards with one shouting at the marchers: “They’re the BNP, they’re the BNP!” The reply from one lady pocketing her Countrysider: “Good to see them” so thoroughly deflated this Tory pinko that he slunk off not to be seen again. Another made a complete spectacle of himself by standing in front of one of our activists jumping up and down and flapping his arms like a bird trying to take off! The marchers taking papers from the party’s other activists looked on, clearly bemused.

Elsewhere, a Channel 4 camera man filming the Hyde Park team giving out papers asked another lady taking a paper: “Do you know the people behind this paper are the BNP?” Her filmed reply was “So what?” If that is shown and doesn’t end up on the cutting room floor, it will accurately sum up the attitude of the marchers towards the party. Country folk are not the exclusive electoral preserve of the Tory party — which has actually let them down. The BNP too is more than ready to represent the concerns of country folk as it does city and town folk.

Altogether, with 46,000 Countrysiders going out — reaching more than 1-in-9 of the marchers — and to a fantastic reception, the party can be well pleased. The impact of this activity will continue to be felt for months as the party continues to widen its constituency to appeal to more and more people.

GROUP DEVELOPMENT PROGRESS

Nine new groups have been formed over the last three months with more meetings in the pipeline to form yet more groups of the party.

Camden & Islington; Westminster; Reading; Thurrock; Merton & Kingston; Manchester S; Salford; Clitheroe and Manchester NE groups of the BNP have all been formed and are already working away.

Nearly 50 members and supporters attended the N. Manchester meeting at which not one, but two new groups of the party were launched - Manchester NE and Salford. The enthusiasm for the party at the meeting had to be seen to be believed. The potential in Manchester is thought to be every bit as good as nearby Oldham with anecdotal evidence showing many examples of fed up Mancunians looking for a real alternative like the BNP. The first Manchester NE leafleting

activity came in the week following the founding meeting with ten people present, which was very good — particularly as the initial meeting effectively split the meeting in two.

The formation of Reading BNP saw 35 people present and following a short talk by Director of Group Development Tony Lecomber, this group too was set up and running.

The Merton & Kingston meeting was also good with 20 present. Chris Roberts, London Regional Organiser, chaired the meeting to ensure that this South-West London group — the first time the BNP has organised here — got off to a flying start.

In Camden & Islington, the party was relaunched as more than 40 people turned up to agree to get active again.

The party has the Red-White-and-Blue and the ridiculous antics of the ‘Anti-normal League’ to thank for the establishment of Clitheroe BNP. Before the RWB, the party had two members in the town. Now, thanks to the publicity generated by ANAL, the party has twenty members in the town — surpassing Labour! — and an active group regularly leafleting to build for next May’s local elections.

Other meetings have seen the party build a holding position with ‘caretakers’ holding things together before the party reaches critical mass in any given area for it to become an active group of the party.

With the party recruiting new members hand over fist over the last year — and this trend is continuing to make for the longest sustained growth period for the British National Party ever — it is vital that the party build organisationally. Scattered members are all very well, but it is organised party groups campaigning, leafleting and preparing to contest elections that will see the party expand even faster than it has done before. If the party is to have more councillors elected, then more new groups are needed to contest those elections — wherever they are!



One million countryside centurions on the march

THE GUARDIANS OF A BRITISH WAY OF LIFE

Read the news Tony Blair and his cronies would rather you didn't!

FREEDOM 

BUY BRITISH! 

It's a Red tape nightmare! 

For A Free Information Pack Call: 0870 7576 267

Bulk prices: 10 - £2.50 + 87p p&p; 25 - £4.20 + £3.05 p&p
50 - £6.00 + £4.15 p&p; 100 - £8.80 + £6.50 p&p
200 - £14.40 + £8.05 p&p; 300 - £19.20 + £8.50 p&p
500 - £26.00 + £10.20 p&p; 1000 - £40.00 + phone!

phone 0870 7576 267 for postage on 1,000 and send all orders to PO Box 1032, Woodford Green, Essex IG8 9GN

Speaker's CORNER

P.O.BOX 107, WIGTON, CUMBRIA, CA7 0YA, ENGLAND/ Email: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk



BNP activist ensures the voice of the Party is heard

Dear Sir,

I recently attended the countryside march in London and am pleased to confirm that it was a resounding success for the BNP.

When we left the coach to start the march we tried to stay together. We soon found this to be impossible due to the large number of people.

The thing that I found most outstanding was the police and their attitude. They were wonderful, wearing stickers and badges and encouraging the many children to stick more on to them. They were friendly which fitted in totally with the friendly, easy-going mood of the day. Everywhere people were

in a jovial mood and saying what a wonderful march it was, and there was great humour all merging into one voice

There was very little chance to look around as the march was in such tight formation.

As we entered the centre of London and approached the Cenotaph we were marching 25 - 50 deep. Signs on either side of the Cenotaph urged gentlemen to remove their hats and everyone to remain quiet. You could have heard a pin drop. Never before have I observed such respect in such numbers.

There were TV screens up high and children could be seen waving to the cameras. Everything was well arranged with toilets along the route.

There was no pushing and shoving and every one moved at a steady pace. The police were clapping the marchers and everyone applauded the police.

As we reached the end of the route I joined many other BNP members handing out copies of *The Countryside*. Our literature was very well received. On the coach journey home I remained quiet and listened to people discussing the fact that the BNP is the only party standing up for rural Britain. I am sure that the BNP won many new friends on Sunday.

**Alan Bedwell,
Marham**

Dear Sir,

I have been a member of the BNP for a year now so imagine my lack of surprise when I read in the Daily Mail of Friday 30th August that the Coventry Asylum Centre had been awarded a grant of £50,000 for the purpose of providing asylum seekers with car maintenance courses and driving lessons. The grant covers this financial year and is a trial for the rest of the country. Who knows what the national bill would come to if it were ever added up.

As a driving instructor in the city myself I found it difficult to finish reading the article through my anger. I find it intolerable and socially divisive to suggest that the people of Coventry, many of whom are forced to scrimp and save making personal sacrifices into the bargain to afford the cost of driving lessons, are not seen as worthy of receiving help in the form of financial assistance to secure for themselves this training. The government would rather assist the 5,500 asylum seekers resident in the Hillfields area of the city. The government figure used I note is only the figure for the refugees in the city who have been moved there through this government's widely criticized relocation program. How many more are there in the city from whom applications for assistance would be welcomed?

On the following Monday evening I attended a regular meeting of local driving instructors. I raised the issue there with the point that as far as I am concerned this scheme is deeply offensive and insulting to everyone in the city who currently pays for driving lessons. Every head in the room simultaneously nodded in agreement and they began to offer their own stories of public reaction to the news. I went on to say that I would definitely not be taking on any of this work and invited opinions from those present. There were no contrary arguments provided at all to my stance.

For the government of the day to behave in a manner as prejudi-

cial to the interests of its own people as this is unforgivable. They are not only willing but happy to fund the social and fiscal benefits of having a driving licence to people who do not even belong in this country. To benefit from the scheme the refugees need only apply for a provisional driving license and to do this there is no requirement of residency. They merely need to show some form of identity. It is not even a pre-requisite that they have made an application for asylum.

**Yours sincerely,
Martyn Findley,
Coventry**

Dear Sir,

After reading the interview with Carol Hughes in the August issue of 'Identity' I would like to pass onto this brave lady my admiration, appreciation, and offer of help.

I note with anger that she has been threatened with victimisation by the loathsome teaching union, NATFHE, to which I have the dishonour of belonging. It does nothing for the conditions of its rank and file membership, whilst forever engaging in ludicrous and irrelevant campaigns of political 'correctness'.

I teach Management and Professional Studies at an FE College, specialising in Law, and would be only too happy to assist with tuition, if the union were to succeed in such a disgraceful discriminatory boycott. This anti-democratic threat to civil liberties must be seen off.

I wish you and the Party to which we both belong, every future success.

**Yours truly,
Andrew Vernon
Burton upon Trent**

Dear Sir,

British taxpayers are being taken to the cleaners by illegal immigrants. In Dover, for example, local taxpayers are having to shell out £1.3 million to refurbish a disused young offenders' institute with top class leisure facilities for the use of illegal immigrants. Their luxury lifestyle includes pool tables, use of a gym, colour TV's in every room as well as teams of cleaners and chefs who are shuttled in each day to look after their every need. The centre, which opened in April, has caused outrage among local residents. Many low-income families, pensioners and disabled people would love to enjoy these kind of facilities but can't afford them while illegal immigrants get the five star treatment. It's outrageous how much this is costing our country and will continue to do so if the BNP does not gain power under the wise leadership of Nick Griffin.

Yours sincerely
Mark Twiddy
London

Dear Sir,

It is quite amazing that in 1982 I was being assured by the Home Office that immigration was coming to an end, and that the Government of the day agreed that people had a legitimate concern about immigration, and a right to expect it to cease. Now in 2002, immigration continues at a rate of a quarter of a million a year. Labour has not enacted any further legislation, but has certainly relaxed rules for allowing in the dependants of persons already here, such as spouses, fiancés, children, parents, grandparents and certain other dependant relatives, as well as common-law and same-sex partners.

The Joint Entry Clearance Unit, which is a joint Home Office and Foreign Office department set up to manage UK visa operations overseas, reports, for example, that the number of applications for visas from the Indian sub-continent went up in the year 2000 from 246,199 in the previous year, to 291,560.

No one except for the BNP will do anything decisive to alter things.

Yours faithfully,
R. Tandy
King's Lynn

Dear Sir,

In 1960, Western people made up 25% of the World's population. We are now down to 16% and by 2050 — on current trends- we will make up no more than 10%. If this is not bad enough, there is the grave danger posed to the West by demographic swamping. In 1999, for example, 500,000 illegal immigrants slipped into the European Union -a tenfold increase on 1993.

This is made all the more frightening when figures on crime and ethnicity are considered, as revealed in the Home Office publication 'Statistics on Race and the Criminal Justice System'. It seems that in 1995, 382,000 offences were racially motivated. Of these, 143,000 were committed against ethnic minorities but a staggering 238,000 were committed against white people. This would mean that on a 'per capita' basis ethnic minorities were carrying out 25 times more racial assaults than the white population.

This is certainly something that white people here in Oldham

can relate to, given the numerous instances of racist attacks carried out against them. White people must not despair, however, as the debate about 'racism' is now clearly moving on. Many Westerners now realise that halting immigration and opposing multiculturalism is not blind prejudice, but merely commonsense.

Long live the BNP!

Yours faithfully,
David Anderson,
Oldham

Dear Sir,

According to figures in 'Focus' magazine Britain's oil fields now produce more oil than Kuwait or Iraq. And with Scotland's Atlantic Frontier field, the UK could soon be among the world's top 5 oil producing countries. France, Germany, Italy and other European countries do NOT enjoy this massive source of revenue, yet they all have superior Healthcare and railway systems, which shame the UK. Successive Tory and Labour governments have reduced Britain to Third World status despite this liquid bounty.

The British public have a right to know where all this money has gone. Who has benefited from this bonanza? Who has thrown our money away Mr. Blair?

Yours sincerely,
Neville Barrett
Sheffield

Dear Sir,

A BNP leaflet was delivered to my door recently, which has made me give honest thought to joining your party. I also used the threat of it to make a point to the cowardly leader of the Tory party, for all the good it did me! I told Mr Duncan Smith that as a long standing Tory I would have voted BNP in the council elections if there had been a candidate. Why? Because the BNP is the only party around which wants to return to traditional values, to sort out crime and criminals whatever their colour, to stop illegal immigration, to deport bogus asylum seekers, to deal with the channel tunnel, to let Europe see we are nobody's lap dogs and will not bow to pressure to change the ways of history just to avoid name calling.

I was sent a short curt letter in reply which failed to answer any of my points and which merely said that 'the Tory Party is reorganising and wants to be more inclusive'. No wonder so many former Tories like me are deserting in droves for the BNP.

Yours,
P.Foord
Stockport


Dear Sir,

After reading the interview with BNP councillor Carol Hughes in the August issue of Identity, I can only say how impressed I was. It became clear to me why she was elected: honesty, love of her people and a will not to give in to left-wing thugs. Having lived in London all my life, I know the reality of a multi-racial society. I just wish Carol had been elected in my ward.

Yours sincerely,
George Hinks
London

A SERIOUSLY UNHEALTHY OPTION

MARK PAYNE argues that an American-style private health care system is a nightmare we should avoid



With the onset of Private Finance Initiative (PFI) being encouraged by the government in order to pay for building within Britain's ailing healthcare sector, and the creation of alleged "much-needed" private wards and clinics for people to "jump the waiting lists", it is almost an inevitability that American-style private healthcare will be invading our wage-packets before too much longer.

Having spoken to various consultant surgeons and GPs over the last twelve months, I am assured that at the very least, a part-pay healthcare system will be in operation in Britain within the next five years. Greedy doctors will be heard telling everyone it will bring a first class healthcare system where nobody queues for operations, and where money can buy you the best healthcare. But does anyone really know what they are in for with private healthcare? Let's look at some real-life examples and experiences from America.

American nightmare

First and foremost, health insurance companies own the system and they dictate who can and can't have operations. They also decide whether to go ahead with any treatment, rather than allow the surgeons to make those decisions. For instance, if someone comes into hospital after a heart attack and requires major heart surgery, the insurance company will ask if this person smokes or drinks alcohol. If the answer is yes, they reluctantly allow the treatment, but in future demand at least double the future premiums for that person. In actual fact, we here in Britain have this perception that Americans are rich people having saved thousands of dollars for their retirement nest-egg. In reality, one bout of heart surgery (bypass operation) costs as much as £65,000. One simple operation and your entire life savings are wiped out. Another known example is when someone I know was involved in a car crash in 1998, in which she was severely injured and lacerated. Very little rehabilitation was necessary. She was one of the 20 million Americans currently without any insurance policy. Her total healthcare bill amounted to over \$20,000 (equivalent to about £13,000-14,000). Because

she had no insurance policy, she was forced to pay the amount of \$175 per month to the doctors and the hospital facility. It will take her 10 years to pay this amount back, and all because she had no insurance policy. Of course, you also hope and pray that you incur no other illness or injury within that ten years, or else that is also added to your burden too. If anyone owns property or a car and cannot afford to make payments on health bills, they will almost certainly be sued. And in case you haven't worked it out yet, the insurance companies will get back their costs by raising the premiums of their regular customers. Profit comes a long way before care does. We have witnessed the devastation that privatisation causes to people's lives with the British rail industry, and others.

Greed for profit

Below are some example policies from a new internet-based medical insurance company. Let's remember that these policies are advertised as being MUCH cheaper than average medical insurance policies from huge corporations.

In Chicago, a family of four, with the parents in their late 30's and two school-aged children could pay as little as \$192 (£130) per month, if they were willing to pay the first \$2000 of their annual medical expenses. Of course, the premiums would rise if either of the parents were to have any long-term illnesses or diseases. And for other expenses beyond the \$2000 deductible, policyholders are responsible for an on-top 30% co-payment. Simplified, this means that people would pay \$192 per month, \$30 (£20) for their first two GP visits EACH, and then if for instance they incur some medical treatment amounting to \$10,000 (£6,500), they would also have to pay the first \$3,000 (£2,000) of the costs of treatment too. And this is every time they incur costs - not just the first time. Part payments of all prescriptions would also be added to this. Of course, there are different premiums for different policies, and every state sets a different level of policy requirement (which in Britain would be added to our already biased postcode lottery on treatment).

Are you suitably shocked yet? How about if I were to tell you that the all-powerful medical insurance companies are



currently lobbying congress (US parliament) to ensure that DNA samples are taken from every new born child from now on? Why would they want to do that, you ask? It is so they can identify potential hereditary illnesses or genetic deformities in people so that when they become eligible for healthcare they will be cast aside as uninsurable.

It is widely acknowledged that medical insurance is the biggest and richest industry in America. So in that case, why has "Conseco", the USA's biggest medical insurance company, recently announced huge losses in quarterly profits for the fourth consecutive time, and are now in debt to the tune of \$8.2 BILLION? Simply, it is due to bad investments in the global money markets. And if they were to "go under", millions of people would be without insurance schemes. But what does that matter? They're only people! If you want to see services worsen, operations cut back, and staff made redundant at some hospitals continue current voting trends. People's health won't matter a hoot. Profits will be the only thing that matters.

The BNP alternative

So what would the British National Party do about it? We are wholly committed to a free, fully funded National Health Service for all British citizens. We will revitalise the Health Service by boosting staff and bed numbers, slashing unnecessary bureaucracy and by addressing the root cause of low recruitment and retention — low pay. We will see to it that the £1.8 million per hour we currently give to the EU is stopped






forthwith while our own hospitals are short of beds and staff to run them. More emphasis must be placed on healthy living with greater understanding of sickness prevention through physical exercise, a healthier environment and improved diets.

Finally, this may not seem too interesting a subject right now, but eventually, like immigration and crime is currently, it will become a major British election issue. And it will affect all of our lives when those GP's bills start coming in thick and fast. You think the NHS is bad now? Wait until Private healthcare comes to Britain. We should embrace the future before it arrives and oppose this obscene agenda.



EXCALIBUR CALLING CARDS

Card Front



Card Back

Price: 100 cards cost £2.50 (inc. p&p), please specify 'rat', 'squirrel' 'noose' or 'house of treason' when ordering. Please make all cheques payable to 'Excalibur' and send to:

Excalibur, PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW.
email: enquiries@excaliburheritage.com

BENEFITING FROM THE BNP's NEW MONTHLY 'VANGUARD' CD

Over the past few years the BNP has geared its publicity to recruiting people rather than educating them. This has left the party with an ideological gap within its literature. Any political party needs two types of literature, one for recruitment and one for educating those people already IN the party. This two-tier system with literature has been used before but unfortunately, with Identity and Freedom aimed at recruiting, the party has inadvertently left those wanting something more deep and ideological out in the cold.

This gap has now been filled, not with another paper publication however, but instead with an audio CD. 'Vanguard' is aimed at people who wish to hear more, and learn more about the things that the BNP stand for. Where Freedom and Identity talk about policy, 'Vanguard' aims to explain the ideological roots of our policy and it's relevance today. 'Vanguard' also includes commentaries about places all over Britain that are of cultural and historical importance.

White people have over 40,000

years of history in Britain and all over the world. This has lead to us all sharing a spectacular history and legacy, one that is intricately linked with our race and culture. However these things do not always fit in with direct recruitment, as a deep ideology is something that is developed over time. For a long time British nationalists have lacked a sensible ideological publication dealing with these issues.

This CD will in time help to build an educated mass of activists with in-depth knowledge on all issues from culture and race through to economics and the EU. But why is this necessary? Well this is simple. With the schools, the television and the newspapers constantly brainwashing our people and rewriting our history, it is the job of the BNP and its members and activists to go out and re-educate the people of Britain. But with the BNP growing so rapidly we need to do this quickly and efficiently.

The CD is produced and distributed by the Young BNP and Excalibur, the party's merchandising wing. The

speeches are written and read by Mark Collett, the party's youth leader. The CD contains two speeches, one relating directly to policy and one relating to places of cultural and historical importance in Britain and their relevance to our culture. The CD will appear monthly.

The CD costs £5 and is available from Excalibur, P.O Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW. A year's subscription is £50 and consists of 12 issues. Please make all cheques/postal orders payable to "Excalibur" and if you choose to send cash please do so by recorded delivery.



BNP VIDEOS

Special Offer: Buy two
videos and get RWB 2001
ABSOLUTELY FREE

SEE FOR YOURSELF!

Nick Griffin at the American Renaissance 2002.

Very well-made recording of the BNP Chairman's keynote after dinner speech to several hundred guests at the Washington DC conference organised by one of the most intelligent and influential nationalist magazines in the USA.

Includes a lively question and answers session. Runs for nearly 2hrs. £10 plus £2 p&p

Red - White - Blue 2001.

Our editor has done the best job possible but, to be honest, he didn't have a lot of good original footage to work from. Features political speeches, site shots, and entertainment including Stigger and Sisco. Worth getting whether you were there or not, especially at Only £8 plus £2 p&p

FSID Number 1.

The very first edition of the BNP's own video magazine! FSID represent the party's four key aims: Freedom, Security, Identity, Democracy. Recent party activities — including footage of the huge Countryside March, Focus on Oldham, RWB sampler, highlights of Nick Griffin's speeches at Bournemouth and Halifax. This regular video magazine is intended primarily for use at meetings and to help establish new groups (all BNP units will receive copies of each new edition automatically), but will also be enjoyed by individual supporters. If you don't buy this one you won't end up with a complete collection! £10 plus £2 p&p

Red - White - Blue 2002.

That's more like it. Great footage of speeches from both days' political events, highlights of all the evening entertainment, and a taste of the atmosphere at the British National Party's largest ever event. Electric! £10 plus £2 p&p

Please make cheques/POs payable to 'Excalibur' and send to Excalibur, PO Box 116, Leeds LS27 9WW.
email: enquiries@excaliburheritage.com



BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY MEMBERSHIP FORM

Please complete and return to: The Secretary, PO Box 51, Oundle PE8 6WQ

NAME..... TEL. No.

ADDRESS.....

..... POST CODE..... E-MAIL.....

I enclose a family membership fee of £35 for one year (please give your name and address on this side and names and ages of others on separate paper)

I enclose my fee of £25 for one year

I enclose my fee of £12 for one year and qualify for the reduced rate as:

OAP Unwaged Student (Please send photocopied proof of entitlement)

Are you under 24? if so state age..... you qualify for membership of the Young BNP at no extra cost.

Do you wish to be an active member of the party? Yes / No

Please list any skills or facilities you have which may be of use to the BNP:

Cheques payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage'.